Diversity and 'Economic Assimilation' of Immigrant Workers: Evidence from cities in the USA

Nazmun N. Ratna & Ian MacDonald
Faculty of Commerce
Lincoln University



Motivation

- Is diversity good for economic growth? Do settler societies experience productivity gains (or losses) from diversity?
- What are the economic consequence of increasing diversity on native workers in settler societies?
- Do foreign-born workers assimilate economically i.e. the wages of foreign-born workers approach those of 'observationally equivalent' native workers?
- What should policies opt for: cultural or economic assimilation? Does the rate of economic assimilation depend on social identities?

Diversity and economic outcomes

- Conflict of preferences and provision of public goods (*Easterly and Levine 1997*, *Alesina et. al. 1999*)
- Diversity, and interpersonal trust (*Knack and Keefer 1997, Zak and Knack 2001, Collier and Gunning 1999, Alesina and Ferrera 2002, Putnam 2000*)
- Diversity and social divergence (*Grafton*, *Knowles and Owen 2004*, *Grafton*, *Kompas and Owen 2007*, *Ratna*, *Grafton and Kompas 2009*)

Immigration and labour market outcomes

- Impact of immigrantion on competing native workers: Borjas (1994, 1995, 1999, 2001, 2003), Card (1990, 2001)
- Economic value of cultural diversity
 (Ottaviano & Peri 2003)
- Linguistic diversity, wages and employment diversity on native workers (Ottaviano & Peri 2005)

Diversity, Knowledge interactions & Barriers to communication

Barriers to communication created through differences in language, ethnicity or religion, deter the 'cross-fertilization' of ideas and knowledge due to lower social interactions across the groups and, hence, have negative impact on productivity (*Grafton, Kompas and Owen 2007*)

Diversity and Wages: City level analysis

Empirical model

$$\ln(\overline{w}_{c,t}) = \chi_c + \beta_t + \underline{\delta}_c(\underline{c}_{c,t}) + \underline{\alpha}_d(\underline{d}_{c,t}) + e_{c,t}$$

Measuring Diversity

FRACi = 1-
$$\sum_{j}^{n} f_{ji}^{2}$$

Diversity and Labour Productivity

ln (average wage of all workers: 15 -64 yrs)						
	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)		
Race	358***	409***	513***	210***		
Language	.735***	041	.165	233		
Culture	2.138***	2.137***	2.186***	1.912***		
Education	.612**	.725**	.767***	.728**		
LI		532**	-0.876**	891***		
Language*LI		2.216**	3.573***	1.909***		
Years FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
City FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

Dependent Variable						
	In (Wage of white workers: 15 -64)		IVE			
	(i)	(ii)	change in In (Wage)	change in ln (Wage_WW)		
Race	446***	656***	-1.81***	.743		
Language	.914***	.103				
Culture	2.209***	2.311***	9.529***	9.623***		
Education	.408*	.758**				
LI		-1.445***				
Lang*LI		5.149***				
Years FE	Yes	Yes				
City FE	Yes	Yes				

Endogeniety and IVE

Instruments for diversity index

• Shift-share technology (Card 2001, Ottaviano and Peri 2003, 2005)

$$(x_i^c)_{2000} = (x_i^c)_{1980}[1 + (g_i)_{80-00}]$$

Shift –Share Diversity= 1-
$$\sum_{i} (x_i^c)_{2000}$$

Economic Assimilation of non-native workers

- Non-native/foreign-born/ immigrant workers
- Wage convergence from below toward the higher native mean (Kim 2009)
- The rate at which the gap between earnings of native and immigrants narrows, is interpreted as a measure of economic assimilation (Meng & Gregory 2002)

Concluding Remarks

• Diversity by itself is not the problem, but barriers to communication across social groups (racial in our estimates) and the consequent social isolation have negative social & economic outcomes. Thus policies that promote 'bridging' likely to have not only social or political, but substantial economic payoffs as well.

Concluding Remarks

- Policy Implications: Economic *Assimilation* and Cultural *Integration*
 - Language and skill training
 - Education policy

• When barriers to communication are nonexistent /less prevalent, immigration enhances economic growth