

**Discussion Paper No. 109**

**CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:  
A STUDY OF BANK CUSTOMER  
RETENTION IN NEW ZEALAND**

*David Cohen<sup>1</sup>  
Christopher Gan<sup>2</sup>  
Hua Hwa Au Yong<sup>3</sup>  
and  
Esther Choong<sup>4</sup>*

**March 2006**

- <sup>1</sup> Commerce Division, PO Box 84, Lincoln University, Canterbury, New Zealand, Tel: 64-3-325-2811, Fax: 64-3-325-3847, [cohend@lincoln.ac.nz](mailto:cohend@lincoln.ac.nz)
- <sup>2</sup> Corresponding Author, Commerce Division, PO Box 84, Lincoln University, Canterbury, New Zealand, Tel: 64-3-325-2811, Fax: 64-3-325-3847, [ganc1@lincoln.ac.nz](mailto:ganc1@lincoln.ac.nz)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Business and Economics, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia, Tel: 61-3-9905-5178, Fax: 61-3-9905-5475, Email: [HueHwa.AuYong@BusEco.monash.edu.au](mailto:HueHwa.AuYong@BusEco.monash.edu.au)
- <sup>4</sup> Standard and Chartered Bank, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, Email: [mcc9999@gmail.com](mailto:mcc9999@gmail.com)

**Commerce Division  
Discussion Paper No. 109**

**CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: A STUDY OF BANK CUSTOMER  
RETENTION IN NEW ZEALAND**

*David Cohen  
Christopher Gan  
Hua Hwa Au Yong  
and  
Esther Choong*

**March 2006**

Commerce Division  
PO Box 84  
Lincoln University  
CANTERBURY

Telephone No: (64) (3) 325 2811 extn 8155

Fax No: (64) (3) 325 3847

E-mail: [ganc1@lincoln.ac.nz](mailto:ganc1@lincoln.ac.nz)

ISSN 1174-5045  
ISBN 1-877176-86-9

## *Abstract*

Customer retention is an important element of banking strategy in today's increasingly competitive environment. Bank management must identify and improve upon factors that can limit customer defection. These include employee performance and professionalism, willingness to solve problems, friendliness, level of knowledge, communication skills, and selling skills, among others. Furthermore, customer defection can also be reduced through adjustments in a bank's rates, policies and branch locations (Leeds, 1992).

Clearly, there are compelling arguments for bank management to carefully consider the factors that might increase customer retention rates. Several studies have emphasised the significance of customer retention in the banking industry (see Dawkins and Reichheld, 1990; Marple and Zimmerman, 1999; Page et al., 1996; Fisher, 2001). However, there has been little effort to investigate factors that might lead to customer retention. Most of the published research has focused on the impact of individual constructs, without attempting to link them in a model to further explore or explain retention. If retention criteria are not well managed, customers might still leave their banks, no matter how hard bankers try to retain them.

This paper examines the impact of several retention-relevant constructs that influence consumers' decisions to stay with or leave their banks in New Zealand. These constructs were rated by customers as having strong effects on loyalty to their banks. Demographic characteristics (i.e. age, gender, educational level and income) were also assessed for their contribution to intentions of staying with or finding alternative banks. Results suggest that the most important constructs were customer satisfaction, followed by corporate image and switching barriers. There was also evidence that customers' age groups and level of education contributed to explaining respondents' propensity to stay with their current banks.

**JEL Classification:** G20, M30

**Keywords:** *customer retention, customer satisfaction, retail banking*

# *Contents*

List of Tables	i
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	2
2.1 Competitive Advantage	3
2.2 Customer Satisfaction	4
2.3 Customer Perceptions of Value	5
2.4 Corporate Image	5
2.5 Switching Barriers	6
2.6 Consumers' Behavioural Intention	6
2.7 Customer Loyalty	7
3. METHODOLOGY AND DATA	8
3.1 Data Collection	8
3.2 Results and Discussion	8
3.2.1 Durability of Relationships	9
3.2.2 Research Constructs	11
4. CONCLUSIONS	20
REFERENCES	22

## *List of Tables*

1.	Demographics of Respondents	9
2.	Bank of Respondents with Length to Stay	10
3.	Intention to Stay with Current Bank	11
4.	Relationship Between Respondents' Likelihood of Staying and Bank	11
5a.	Mean Scores of Respondents' Perceived Satisfaction ( $\alpha = .851$ )	12
5b.	Mean Scores of Respondents' Perceived Value ( $\alpha = .838$ )	14
5c.	Mean Scores of Respondents' Perceived Corporate Image ( $\alpha = .865$ )	15
5d.	Mean Scores of Respondents' Perceived Competitive Advantage ( $\alpha = .850$ )	16
5e.	Mean Scores of Respondents' Switching Barriers ( $\alpha = .819$ )	17
5f.	Mean Scores of Respondents' Behavioural Intentions ( $\alpha = .846$ )	18
5g.	Mean Scores of Respondents' Loyalty Level ( $\alpha = .766$ )	19
6.	Respondents' Demographic with Regards to Retention	20

## **1. Introduction**

The banking industry is highly competitive, with banks not only competing among each other; but also with non-banks and other financial institutions (Kaynak and Kucukemiroglu, 1992; Hull, 2002). Most bank product developments are easy to duplicate and when banks provide nearly identical services, they can only distinguish themselves on the basis of price and quality. Therefore, customer retention is potentially an effective tool that banks can use to gain a strategic advantage and survive in today's ever-increasing banking competitive environment.

The majority of New Zealand's banks has non-domestic owners, and is not very diversified in terms of the products and services they offer (Hull, 2002). This suggests that the New Zealand banking industry has reached the maturity phase of the product lifecycle and has become commoditized, since banks offer nearly identical products. This carries the danger of creating a downward spiral of perpetual price discounting -- fighting for customer share (Menzela, 1999). One strategic focus that banks can implement to remain competitive would be to retain as many customers as possible.

The argument for customer retention is relatively straightforward. It is more economical to keep customers than to acquire new ones. The costs of acquiring customers to "replace" those who have been lost are high. This is because the expense of acquiring customers is incurred only in the beginning stages of the commercial relationship (Reichheld and Kenny, 1990). In addition, longer-term customers buy more and, if satisfied, may generate positive word-of-mouth promotion for the company. Additionally, long-term customers also take less of the company's time and are less sensitive to price changes (Healy, 1999). These findings highlight the opportunity for management to acquire referral business, as it is often of superior quality and inexpensive to obtain. Thus, it is believed that reducing customer defections by as little as five percent can double the profits (Healy, 1999).

The key factors influencing customers' selection of a bank include the range of services, rates, fees and prices charged (Abratt and Russell, 1999). It is apparent that superior service, alone, is not sufficient to satisfy customers. Prices are essential, if not more important than service and relationship quality. Furthermore, service excellence, meeting client needs, and providing innovative products are essential to succeed in the banking industry. Most private

banks claim that creating and maintaining customer relationships are important to them and they are aware of the positive values that relationships provide (Colgate et al., 1996).

While there have been several studies emphasising the significance of customer retention in the banking industry (see Dawkins and Reichheld, 1990; Fisher, 2001; Marple and Zimmerman, 1999; Page, Pitt, and Berthon, 1996; Reichheld and Kenny, 1990), there has been little empirical research examining the constructs that could lead to customer retention. This paper examines the constructs that impact consumers' decision to stay with or leave their current banks in New Zealand. In addition, the paper explores whether there is any association between consumers' demographic characteristics (i.e. age, gender, educational level and income) and loyalty decisions.

## **2. Literature Review**

Previous studies have identified the benefits that customer retention delivers to an organisation (see Colgate et al., 1996; Reichheld and Sasser, 1990; Storbacka et al., 1994). For example, the longer a customer stays with an organisation the more utility the customer generates (Reichheld and Sasser, 1990). This is an outcome of a number of factors relating to the time the customer spends with the organisation. These include the higher initial costs of introducing and attracting a new customer, increases in both the value and number of purchases, the customer's better understanding of the organisation, and positive word-of-mouth promotion.

Apart from the benefits that the longevity of customers brings, research findings also suggest that the costs of customer retention activities are less than the costs of acquiring new customers. For example, Rust and Zahorik (1993) argue the financial implications of attracting new customers may be five times as costly as keeping existing customers. However, maintaining high levels of satisfaction will not, by itself, ensure customer loyalty. Banks lose satisfied customers who have moved, retired, or no longer need certain services. As a consequence, retaining customers becomes a priority. Previous research shows, however, that longevity does not automatically leads to profitability (Colgate, Stewart, and Kinsella, 1996).

On the other hand, Beckett et al. (2000) draw tentative conclusions as to why consumers appear to remain loyal to the same financial provider, even though in many instances they hold less favourable views toward these service providers. For example, many consumers



appear to perceive little differentiation between financial providers, making any change essentially worthless. Secondly, consumers appear to be motivated by convenience or inertia. Finally, consumers associate changing banks with high switching costs in terms of the potential sacrifice and effort involved.

Clearly, there are compelling arguments for bank management to carefully consider the factors that might increase customer retention rates, with research providing ample justification for customer retention efforts by banks (see Marple and Zimmerman, 1999; Fisher, 2001). However, there has been little empirical research that investigates the constructs leading to customer retention. Previous empirical work has focused on identifying constructs that are precursors to customer retention. Others studies have focused on developing measures of customer satisfaction, customer value and customer loyalty without specifically looking into other potential meaningful constructs. Examples of such constructs are competitive advantage, customer satisfaction, switching barriers, corporate image, and bank services characteristics. These form the basis for the present investigation. There have been few, if any, attempts to link them to customer retention. This is curious, for if retention criteria are not well managed, customers might still leave their banks, no matter how hard bankers try to retain them.

## **2.1 Competitive Advantage**

In a highly competitive market, the shortest route to differentiation is through the development of brands and active promotion to both intermediaries and final consumers (Parasuraman, 1997). In the long run, however, branding, targeting and positioning would all be much more effective if the supplier had some tangible advantage to offer consumers (Baker, 1993). This is evident in the banking industry, where many banks are providing more or less the identical products for nearly the same price. Unless a bank can extend its product quality beyond the core service with additional and potential service features and value, it is unlikely to gain a sustainable competitive advantage (Chang, Chan, and Leck, 1997). Thus, the most likely way to both retain customers and improve profitability is by adding value via a strategy of differentiation (Baker, 1993) while increasing margins through higher prices.

Today's customers do not just buy core quality products or services; they also buy a variety of added value or benefits. This forces the service providers such as banks to adopt a market orientation approach that identifies consumer needs and designs new products and redesigns current ones (Ennew and Binks, 1996; Woodruff, 1997). Further, competitive pressures then

push other financial service firms to actively target consumer segments by integrating service quality, brand loyalty, and customer retention strategies (Ennew and Binks, 1996).

## **2.2 Customer Satisfaction**

In businesses where the underlying products have become commodity-like, quality of service depends heavily on the quality of its personnel. This is well documented in a study by Leeds (1992), who documented that approximately 40 percent of customers switched banks because of what they considered to be poor service. Leeds further argued that nearly three-quarters of the banking customers mentioned teller courtesy as a prime consideration in choosing a bank. The study also showed that increased use of service quality/sales and professional behaviours (such as formal greetings) improved customer satisfaction and reduced customer attrition.

Indeed, customer satisfaction has for many years been perceived as key in determining why customers leave or stay with an organisation. Organisations need to know how to keep their customers, even if they appear to be satisfied. Reichheld (1996) suggests that unsatisfied customers may choose not to defect, because they do not expect to receive better service elsewhere. Additionally, satisfied customers may look for other providers because they believe they might receive better service elsewhere. However, keeping customers is also dependent on a number of other factors. These include a wider range of product choices, greater convenience, better prices, and enhanced income (Storbacka et al., 1994). Fornell (1992), in his study of Swedish consumers, notes that although customer satisfaction and quality appear to be important for all firms, satisfaction is more important for loyalty in industries such as banks, insurance, mail order, and automobiles.

Ioanna (2002) further proposed that product differentiation is impossible in a competitive environment like the banking industry. Banks everywhere are delivering the same products. For example, there is usually only minimal variation in interest rates charged or the range of products available to customers. Bank prices are fixed and driven by the marketplace. Thus, bank management tends to differentiate their firm from competitors through service quality. Service quality is an imperative element impacting customers' satisfaction level in the banking industry. In banking, quality is a multi-variable concept, which includes differing types of convenience, reliability, services portfolio, and critically, the staff delivering the service.

### **2.3 Customer Perceptions of Value**

Today, customers are more value oriented in their consumption of services because they have alternative choices (Slater, 1997; Woodruff, 1997). For example, Gale and Wood (1994) explained how customers make purchase decisions between competing providers. The author argued that customers buy on value; they do not simply buy products. Interestingly, it was observed that customers learn to think objectively about value in the form of preferred attributes, attribute performance, and consequences from using a product in a use situation (Woodruff, 1997). Thus, banks must be able to provide “up-close” personal service for customers who come with high expectations. For customers who value convenience most, banks must offer the latest product such as electronic banking, touch-tone phone account access and internet banking. Clearly, customer value can be a strong driver of customer retention.

Reidenbach (1995) argued that customer value is a more viable element than customer satisfaction because it includes not only the usual benefits that most banks focus on but also a consideration of the price that the customer pays. Customer value is a dynamic that must be managed. Customer satisfaction is merely a response to the value proposition offered in specific products/markets (Reidenbach, 1995). By this view, banks must determine how customers define value in order to provide added-value services.

### **2.4 Corporate Image**

Today’s consumers have more choices for their financial needs than ever before. Technology, globalisation, increased competition and increased consumer mobility have dramatically changed the way people bank (Harwood, 2002). Many financial institutions are looking at branding techniques to differentiate themselves. Harwood (2002) argued that branding, as a tool to build image, is critical in the banking industry where all firms offer about the same kinds of products. Hence, it is critical that banks have a comprehensive knowledge of customers’ values, attitudes, needs and perceptions of various services the bank offers and the image which customers have of the bank itself (Kaynak, 1986a, 1986b). Accordingly, bankers must be able to build and manage their bank’s image in order to clearly define the differences between their bank and its competitors.

Bharadwaj et al. (1993) argue that services are highly intangible and are, therefore, high in experience and credence qualities. As a consequence, brand reputation is important as a

potential competitive advantage. Alvarez (2001) proposed that logic is no longer enough to sell the benefits of an intangible product or service, especially with commodity products and skeptical consumers. This situation calls for emotion or image to change the perception of the audience in any real or profound way (Alvarez, 2001). Furthermore, both Marthur (1988) and Gronroos (1984) proposed image as an alternative to product differentiation.

## **2.5 Switching Barriers**

Switching barriers have been used as marketing strategies to make it costly for customers to switch to another organisation. Such barriers include search costs, transaction costs, learning costs, loyal customer discounts and emotional costs (Fornell, 1992). These barriers provide disincentives for the customer to leave the current organization. Curasi and Kennedy (2002) have shown that customer satisfaction does not predict the continuation of the relationship. High switching costs are an important factor binding the customer to the service organisation. Even with relatively low levels of satisfaction, the customer continues to patronise the service provider because repurchasing is easier and more cost effective than searching for a new provider or sampling the services of an unknown provider (Curasi and Kennedy, 2002).

Other than switching costs, cross-selling is another critical variable driving customer retention. Cross-selling is the bank's effort to sell as many different products and services as they can to a particular customer (Daniell, 2000). One aspect of loyalty is the impact of cross-selling, which forms a critical element in increasing revenue. Profitability could, as a consequence, be threatened not only by loss of market share but also by diminished opportunities for cross-selling (Jones and Farquhar, 2003). Furthermore, the more products or services you sell to a customer, the less likely it is that they will sever the relationship (Daniell, 2000).

## **2.6 Consumers' Behavioural Intentions**

To compete successfully in today's competitive marketplace, banks must focus on understanding the needs, attitudes, satisfactions and behavioural patterns of the market (Kaynak and Kucukemiroglu, 1992). Consumers evaluate a number of criteria when choosing a bank. However, the prioritisation and use of these criteria differs across countries, and thus cannot be generalised. For example, in a study of Canadian customers in Montreal, Laroche and Taylor (1988) found that convenience is the principal reason for bank selection, followed by parental influence with respect to the status of the bank. In contrast, Kaynak and

Kucukemiroglu's (1992) study of the Hong Kong banking market discovered that customers choose their banks because of convenience, long association, recommendations of friends and relatives, and accessibility to credit.

Social and technological change has had a dramatic impact on banking. These developments, such as internationalisation and unification of money markets and the application of new technologies in information and communications systems to banking, have forced banks to adopt strategic marketing practices. These have included offering extended services, diversification of products, entry into new markets, and emphasising electronic banking (Reidenbach, 1995; Mylonakis et al., 1998). This greater range of services and products, along with improvements in communications efficiency, could have a significant impact on customer satisfaction and consequent behavioural intentions. As changes in the broad financial fields accelerate and business activities converge (i.e., the offering of insurance, financial planning, and share brokerage by a bank), it is imperative to differentiate banking products from other similar or complementary ones that are offered by bank affiliates or non-banks (Mylonakis et al., 1998).

## **2.7 Customer Loyalty**

Customer retention improves profitability principally by reducing costs incurred in acquiring new customers. A prime objective of retention strategies must therefore be “zero defections of profitable customers” (Reichheld, 1996a). There is, however, a distinction between customers who are simply retained and those who are loyal. The concept of consumer inertia implies that some customers are only being retained, rather than expressing loyalty. Truly loyal customers are usually portrayed as being less price-sensitive and more inclined to increase the number and/or frequency of purchases. They may become advocates of the organisation concerned and play a role in the decision making of their peers or family. Satisfaction with a bank's products and services thus also plays a role in generating loyalty that might be absent in the retention situation. Customer loyalty is therefore not the same as customer retention, as loyalty is distinct from simple repurchase behaviour. Loyalty is only a valid concept in situations where customers can choose other providers. Companies thus need to understand the nature of their consumers' reasons for staying and must not assume that it is a positive, conscious choice (Colgate et al., 1996).

Changes in the industrial context of banking could also have an impact on the durability of customer-bank relationships. In New Zealand, these changes have included consolidation

through mergers and acquisitions, and the introduction of a new, state-owned bank in 2002. In the former case, banks not only acquire physical assets and human resources, they also acquire the customers of their previous competitors, making assessments of loyalty more complicated. In the case of the new bank, called Kiwibank, its relative youth compromises interpretations of durability. In contrast, the four major banks operating in New Zealand all have histories of well over 100 years. These include the Auckland Savings Bank (ASB), the Australia and New Zealand Bank (ANZ/National), the Bank of New Zealand (BNZ), and Westpac Trust. With the exception of Kiwibank, all major banks in New Zealand are now foreign owned.

### **3. Methodology and Data**

#### **3.1 Data Collection**

Data was obtained through a mailed survey sent to a sample of residents of Christchurch, New Zealand. The survey was designed according to the Dillman Total Design Method (1978), which has proven to result in improved response rates and data quality. The questionnaire gathered information on consumers' perceptions of their banks, the reasons they remain with their banks, and reasons why they might switch to a rival. Likert-format items were presented with 5-point scales, where 1 = "strongly disagree," 3 = "neither disagree nor agree," and 5 = "strongly agree."

The sampling procedure was based on the recommendations of Malhotra (1999) and Proctor (1997). Names and addresses for the survey were systematically drawn from the 2004 Christchurch White Pages telephone book. The sample size ( $n = 1,920$ ) was computed, and a skip interval of 73 was calculated from the 140,462 telephone book listings. Potential respondents were selected and mailed a questionnaire. A total of 514 useable surveys were returned from the initial mailing, representing a useable response rate of 28%. Budgetary constraints forced the elimination of follow-up procedures.

#### **3.2. Results and Discussion**

A profile of sampled respondents is presented in Table I. Age (Panel A) was distributed bimodally, with 41 to 50 years of age and 51 to 60 years each capturing 24.2% of the sample. Somewhat more than half the sample (51.8%) was male (Panel B). Half of the sample (50.1%) reported having earned a diploma or higher educational qualification, with the remainder of the sample holding a high school or trade qualification (Panel C). Panel D

illustrates the personal income of respondents ranged from ‘less than \$10,000’ to ‘more than \$120,000’ earnings per annum before tax. The modal category of earnings was \$30,000 to \$39,999. Though categorical, the distribution of income demonstrates a clear positive skew.

**Table 1: Demographics of Respondents**

<i>Demographic</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Valid Percent</i>	<i>Cumulative Percent</i>
<b>Panel A: Age Group</b>			
18-30 years old	43	8.4	8.4
31-40 years old	92	17.9	26.3
41-50 years old	124	24.2	50.5
51-60 years old	124	24.2	74.7
61-70 years old	66	12.9	87.5
71 years old and above	64	12.5	100
<b>Total</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>100</b>	
<b>Panel B: Gender</b>			
Male	265	51.8	51.8
Female	249	48.2	100
<b>Total</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>100</b>	
<b>Panel C: Education Level</b>			
Postgraduate Degrees	60	12.5	12.5
Bachelor Degree	100	20.9	33.4
Diploma	80	16.7	50.1
Trade Qualification	72	15.0	65.1
High School Qualification	167	34.9	100
<b>Total</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>100</b>	
<b>Panel D: Income Level</b>			
Less than \$10,000	49	9.8	9.8
\$10,000-\$19,999	79	15.9	25.7
\$20,000-\$29,999	61	12.2	38.0
\$30,000-\$39,999	81	16.3	54.2
\$40,000-\$49,999	76	15.3	69.5
\$50,000-\$59,999	40	8.0	77.5
\$60,000-\$69,999	27	5.4	82.9
\$70,000-\$79,999	27	5.4	88.4
\$80,000-\$89,999	7	1.4	89.8
\$90,000-\$99,999	7	1.4	91.2
\$100,000-\$120,000	21	4.2	95.4
\$120,000 +	23	4.6	100
<b>Total</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>100</b>	

### 3.2.1 Durability of Relationships

The length of time that customers have been with their banks was also measured. As noted above, there is a distinction between mere retention and the more desirable outcome of loyalty. However, durability of a bank-customer relationship is a necessary indicator of both.

Length of stay (LOS) figures appears as Table 2. Two banks supply services to 68.9% of our respondents: Westpac Trust (35.2%) and ANZ/National (33.7%). A further 24.8% of the sample is provided services by BNZ (14.8%) and ASB (10%). Only 3.7% of the respondents indicated their current bank as "other". These institutions include the TSB (Taranaki Savings Bank) and the HSBC (Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd.), along with other non-banking institutions such as credit unions and building societies.

Durability figures appear to demonstrate overall contentment with banking services. Nearly eighty percent of the sample (78.9%) reported LOS at greater than five years. Figures for the other LOS categories are generally small, perhaps reflecting low defection rates or a small number of first time accounts. Given the preponderance of customers in the greater than five years categories across banks, Table 2 seems to reflect strong, stable relationships.

**Table 2: Bank of Respondents and Length of Stay**

LENGTH OF STAY	RESPONDENT'S BANK													
	ASB		ANZ/National		BNZ		Kiwibank		Westpac		Other		Total	
< 1 year	4	.8%	5	1%	5	.2%	2	.4%	2	.4%	1	.2%	15	3%
1-2 years	1	.2%	5	1%	3	.6%	7	1.4%	3	.6%	2	.4%	21	4.1%
2-3 years	3	.6%	12	2.4%	6	1.2%	4	.8%	4	.8%	2	.4%	31	6.1%
3-4 years	5	1%	5	1%	4	.8%	0	0%	1	.2%	1	.2%	16	3.1%
4-5 years	8	1.6%	11	2.2%	3	.6%	0	0%	0	0%	2	.4%	24	4.7%
> 5 years	30	5.9%	133	26.2%	58	11.4%	0	0%	169	33.3%	11	2.2%	401	78.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>33.7%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>100%</b>

A somewhat different impression emerges when examining the proportion of respondents for each bank with a LOS greater than five years. In order of magnitude, the "other" category institutions suffered the lowest five year LOS proportion of the total respondents that bank with "other" banks, with 0.58. For ASB, this proportion was 0.59, the lowest of the banks. BNZ and ANZ/National were nearly equal, with 0.77 and 0.78 respectively. Westpac had the highest five year LOS proportion at 0.94.

However, this may not mean that Westpac is the best of the group in retaining its customers. Retention relevant items were measured using a five point rating scale (where "Very Unlikely =1). When asked about the likelihood of staying with their service provider into the foreseeable future (Table 3), Westpac customers had the lowest mean, at 3.59 (s.d. = 0.684), only somewhat above the neutral hinge of the scale. This suggests that Westpac's customers



might be slightly more willing to switch to other service providers than the customers of the other banks. Comparing Westpac to the other institutions does not confirm this view, however. In ascending order of their five-year retention rates, mean intention to stay figures were 3.74 (s.d. = 0.731) for ANZ/National, BNZ 3.97 (s.d. = 0.698), 4.09 (s.d. = 0.694) for ASB, 4.22 (s.d. = 0.759) for Kiwibank (included here as customers reported on their intention to stay) and 4.38 (s.d. = 0.813) for the 'other' category. All of the means summarising respondents' likelihood of staying were within the 3.5 to 4.5 interval, and were thus roughly equivalent. This widespread moderate satisfaction implies that the bulk of bank customers are not so completely satisfied that they would not switch if attractive incentives were offered by competitors.

**Table 3: Intention to Stay with Current Bank.**

Bank	Number	Mean	Std. Deviation
ASB	51	4.09	.694
ANZ/National Bank	171	3.74	.731
BNZ	75	3.97	.698
Kiwibank	13	4.22	.759
Westpac	179	3.59	.684
Other	19	4.38	.813
Total	508	3.79	.738

Further analysis to determine the relationship between banks and the respondents' likelihood of staying with their current banks was tested by One-way ANOVA (Table 4). The impact of the bank on durability of the relationship was significant ( $F_{(5,502)} = 9.21, p=.000$ ). This implies that the bank has a positive impact on customers' likelihood of staying with their bank.

**Table 4: Relationship Between Respondents' Likelihood of Staying and Bank.**

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig
Between groups	23.222	5	4.644	9.21	.000
Within groups	253.157	502	.504		
Total	276.38	507			

### 3.2.2 Research Constructs

Multiple items were used to build the constructs. All items were measured with five-point rating scales, with neutral centres. Descriptive statistics were computed for responses to each item, along with a summated score for each and a mean score representing the construct of interest. Cronbach's alpha was used to test for reliability, with a minimum value of 0.60 as the

cut-off point. As this study was exploratory in nature, 0.60 was seen as indicating satisfactory internal consistency. Item and item-total means and standard deviations are presented in Tables 5(a) through 5(g), along with the alpha for each construct.

#### *Customer Satisfaction*

Customer satisfaction was measured using a nine-item index. The overall mean of perceived satisfaction was 4.02. Individually, each of the nine items had mean scores that were above the neutral pivot on the rating scale.

Respondents appear to be highly satisfied with the bank's *accuracy of records and transactions*, presented in Table 5(a). This suggests that banks in New Zealand are reliable in carrying out transactions. However, respondents were relatively less satisfied with banks' *pricing*. Some complained about the high fees charged and expensive account maintenance costs.

Financial institutions know the key to retaining customers is more than just providing "satisfaction" or competitive pricing. This view is confirmed by responses to the satisfaction items. Our results indicate that banks cannot rely upon price competition alone in order to be competitive; they must also strive to better inform consumers of the products and services they offer, and provide convenient, agreeable surroundings, as well as continue to emphasise the human interaction basis of service delivery.

**Table 5(a): Mean Scores of Respondents' Perceived Satisfaction ( $\alpha = .851$ )**

<i>Consumers are satisfied with.....</i>	Mean	Std. Deviation	n
Accuracy of banking records	4.40	.812	510
Accuracy of transactions	4.39	.798	510
Access to electronic transactions	4.31	.838	510
The staff who deliver the service	4.19	.812	510
The efficiency of customer service	4.07	.925	510
Physical appearance of the branches	4.03	.874	510
Convenience of branch locations	3.77	1.121	510
The bank's effort to inform consumers about new products and services	3.73	1.015	510
Pricing	3.26	1.250	510
<b>Mean Perceived Satisfaction</b>	<b>4.02</b>	<b>0.644</b>	

### *Customer Perceptions of Value*

The customer perceptions of value construct was measured using an eight-item index. These are presented in Table 5(b). The overall perceived value mean was 3.54, only somewhat above the neutral centre of the scale and thus indicating moderate perceived value of banks. The variable measuring bank service efficiency (4.00) had the highest mean score while the extended banking hours (3.11) had the lowest. All of the means, however, were above the neutral point on the scales, suggesting that banking services were at least adequate for most respondents.

Overall, the respondents valued bankers' *efficient service*. However, the respondents did not think their banks had *numerous branches* or *convenient locations*. Some respondents complained that there were no bank branches located near their workplaces or their residences. Convenience is thus an issue for some customers. New Zealand banks may need to consider increasing the number of branch offices into those areas with high concentrations of current and prospective customers. Over the years, banks in New Zealand have reduced the size of their networks by closing smaller branch offices (Gerrard and Cunningham, 2001). Clearly, such a policy has also reduced convenience for many customers as they are forced to do their banking at less convenient locations.

At present, New Zealand bank managers have sought to develop other delivery techniques to increase convenience for their customers. These efforts have included increasing self-service in the form of ATMs, phone banking, e-banking, Eftpos and drive-through banking. However, some respondents have criticised that such forms of service can only satisfy consumers' day-to-day banking transaction-based needs. These delivery channels are not able to perform additional services such as offering financial advice or providing detailed information about mortgages, loans, and interest rates. Thus, the process by which such services are offered should be continuously monitored to guarantee that customers have access to adequate financial services at all times.

*Extended banking hours* earned the lowest mean score, indicating an area of some concern. Customers want to perform transactions when, where, and how they choose. They want to minimise transaction costs and time. They want specialist advice and perhaps most of all they want to see value in their relationship with their bank (Ngu and LeBlanc, 1998). Thus, New Zealand bankers should ensure their availability to customers in a consistent, caring, and professional manner in order to add value to their services. These aspects of the customer-

bank relationship would be complemented by extended banking hours. Many customers would welcome weekend opening, or extended hours on weekdays.

**Table 5(b): Mean Scores of Respondents' Perceived Value ( $\alpha = .838$ )**

<i>Consumers value their bank because it has.....</i>	Mean	Std. Deviation	n
Efficient service	4.00	.904	512
Offers latest electronic products	3.84	.870	512
Listens and be sensitive to consumer's needs	3.59	1.073	512
Convenient branch locations	3.59	1.155	512
Flexible banking policies	3.56	.963	512
Many branch locations	3.43	1.169	512
Fair method of setting fees	3.14	1.217	512
Extended banking hours	3.11	1.103	512
<b>Mean Perceived Value</b>	<b>3.54</b>	<b>0.730</b>	

#### *Corporate Image*

Seven items were used to assess perceived corporate image (Table 5(c)). The mean of this composite index was 3.9, signifying that overall, respondents have a relatively positive impression of their bank. In general, the respondents believed that the image of their service provider is *widely-known, reliable, trustworthy* and *stable*. The offering of reliable, error-free financial transactions should thus reinforce customers' confidence in their banks. A favourable image could also motivate customers to resist competitive offerings.

However, the respondents do not perceive their bank to be *distinctive or unique* compared to competitors. It might be the case that banks in New Zealand have not attempted to differentiate or reposition themselves and build positive brand equity with their customers. Indeed, banks must rise to the challenge and begin to take advantage of the brand equity that undoubtedly exists or can be developed (Bergstrom and Bresnahan, 1996). More importantly, convincing customers that they are getting high value from their bank should be a key advertising and promotion objective to create and strengthen corporate image.

**Table 5(c): Mean Scores of Respondents' Perceived Corporate Image ( $\alpha = .865$ )**

<i>Consumers perceive the image of their bank is.....</i>	Mean	Std. Deviation	n
Widely-known	4.22	.807	513
Stable	4.15	.818	513
Reliable	4.14	.851	513
Trustworthy	4.14	.875	513
Involved in the community	3.70	1.002	513
Well-liked	3.55	1.065	513
Distinctive/Unique compared to others	3.36	1.039	513
<b>Mean Perceived Corporate Image</b>	<b>3.90</b>	<b>0.691</b>	

#### *Perceived Competitive Advantage*

Perceived competitive advantage was measured using a five-item index (Table 5(d)). The mean score was 3.43, revealing that respondents have a neutral positive impression of their bank's competitive advantage. *Excellent service quality* and *implementation of latest technology* have been perceived as the highest contributor to competitive advantage. Service quality may be the only sustainable form of differentiation in such a highly competitive and homogenous industry (Ioanna, 2002). However, bank managers should bear in mind that delivering superior service is not enough. In effect, they should deliver services that are better than consumers' expectations in order to enhance satisfaction and maintain a positive image.

In terms of the implementation of the latest technology, respondents replied that internet banking was easy to navigate. Distance banking technology, such as internet and telephone services offer convenience to many consumers and may mitigate some of the criticism over the closure of branches.

The use of latest technology, however, raises questions. For example, the respondents commented that they are unable to contact their local branch directly. Calls were being diverted to a 0800 call centre and consumers were interrogated before being transferred to local branch personnel. Consumers would prefer to speak to their local branch's representatives directly, believing they will be better able to solve their problems or provide them prompt, relevant answers. Furthermore, for safety reasons, a few banks now employ a 'locked door' policy. The respondents complained that such policy is a waste of time and will indirectly reduce consumers' willingness to go to the bank's branches. This suggests that bank managers should analyse every facet of the service delivery process and product

attributes to ensure that the application of innovative technology will not increase inconvenience for consumers. Furthermore, New Zealand bankers should regularly obtain feedback from consumers in order to work backwards toward designing new processes or products, so that these can be delivered effectively and efficiently.

**Table 5(d): Mean Scores of Respondents’ Perceived Competitive Advantage ( $\alpha = .850$ )**

<i>Consumers perceive their bank has competitive advantage because it...</i>	Mean	Std. Deviation	n
Has excellent service quality	3.73	.990	514
Uses latest technology	3.71	.906	514
Has memorable advertisements	3.29	1.064	514
Offers unique and distinctive products	3.22	.912	514
Has competitive pricing compare to others	3.20	1.091	514
<b>Mean Perceived Competitive Advantage</b>	<b>3.43</b>	<b>0.787</b>	

#### *Switching Barriers*

The switching barriers index was composed of seven items. The overall mean was 3.79, implying that these impediments have a moderate degree of influence on the respondent’s intention to stay. The individual means are presented in Table 6(e).

The strongest contributor to this construct was banks’ ability to provide products and services that meet respondents’ needs. In addition, the respondents saw *little advantage* to switching, since they perceive that all banks provide the same range of products and services.

Furthermore, *inconvenience*, the *disruption* caused by switching, and *having a good relationship* with bank personnel contributed to respondents’ reluctance to switch to alternative providers.

Of the switching barrier items, *incentives* had the lowest mean score, suggesting that they might not have much impact on switching decisions. This does not mean that incentives are not important to bank customers. In fact, many respondents suggested that their banks should improve their products through the use of some form of ‘bonus’ scheme. Thus, banks could possibly improve customer satisfaction by offering attractive incentive plans for consumers who are purchasing several products and services from their bank.

However, in this study, it was found that many of the respondents do not *use a variety of products* from their current bank. This is an important issue for New Zealand’s bankers, as cross-selling is a critical element in increasing customer loyalty and revenue. Indeed, Jones

and Farquhar (2003) demonstrated that lower levels of cross-selling may also lead to the loss of market share and decreasing profitability. Furthermore, increased competition and on-going development of new delivery channels are leading to commoditisation of bank products as these are relatively easy for competitors to copy. This might lead to a higher propensity to switch providers, as consumers may be able to purchase similar products and services for better prices elsewhere. Conversely, cross-selling may make switching an unacceptable inconvenience, as the customer must find a provider that can replace a broader range of products.

**Table 5(e): Mean Scores of Respondents' Perceived Switching Barriers ( $\alpha = .819$ )**

<i>Consumers do not feel like switching because....</i>	Mean	Std. Deviation	n
Their banks are able to provide products and services they need	4.05	1.001	514
They see little advantage in switching	3.98	1.094	514
Switching would be too disruptive	3.96	1.052	514
They have good relationships with their banks	3.89	1.060	514
Switching is too inconvenient	3.85	1.125	514
They use a variety of products from their banks	3.65	1.070	514
They receive incentives from their banks	3.14	1.244	514
<b>Mean Perceived Switching Barriers</b>	<b>3.79</b>	<b>0.758</b>	

#### *Bank Service Characteristics and Behavioural Intentions*

As noted above, characteristics of banks' service provision can have a significant impact on the behavioural intentions of customers. Six items representing such characteristics were included in the questionnaire. The mean score of this index of 3.58 (Table 5(e)). This suggests that most of the respondents have a positive view of their banks' performance on items that might affect loyalty and thus the intention to remain a customer.

Of the individual items, the highest mean score was for *ability to meet consumer's changing needs*. This suggests that customers want their banks to monitor change in the financial environment, and respond with products that add value to customers' accounts. It also suggests that banks that offer new or refine current products in a proactive manner may enhance their customer relationships.

*Prices* were rated as the next most important variable that could influence consumer's behavioural intentions. Thus, it is strongly recommended that prices be charged at a competitive rate. In addition, the high rating of *reputation for superior service quality* as

motivation for choosing the service provider also suggests that the banking industry in New Zealand needs to place more emphasis on personnel training.

**Table 5(f): Mean Scores of Respondents' Behavioural Intentions ( $\alpha = .846$ )**

<i>Consumers chose their bank because they think....</i>	Mean	Std. Deviation	n
It was able to meet consumer's changing needs	3.81	1.001	511
Prices of services were acceptable	3.64	1.061	511
It has convenient branch locations	3.57	1.098	511
It offers a variety of products	3.52	.966	511
It has a reputation of superior service quality	3.50	1.010	511
It has a favourable image	3.42	1.078	511
<b>Mean Respondents' Behavioural Intentions</b>	<b>3.58</b>	<b>0.779</b>	

### *Customer Loyalty*

The index of customer loyalty was composed of six items. The mean score for the index was 3.35, implying that most of the respondents have an intention to stay loyal with their banks (see Table 5(g)). It has been documented that the respondents tend to stay loyal with their service providers if they have excellent relationship with its staff (Abratt and Russell, 1999; Ennew and Binks, 1996).

Another crucial variable is respondents' perception of *difficulty in changing banks*. In today's banking environment, it would not be unusual for a customer to have many automatic payment orders to a wide variety of different firms, such as electricity and telephone companies. Notification and subsequent changes to billing details or other difficulties would be time consuming. Thus, it might not be worth the effort and inconvenience, unless the respondent had a very bad experience with the current bank. Thus, the respondents' focus on inconvenience in changing banks is still a prevalent concern.

However, if mistakes are made by the bank, then bankers must be able to handle *service recovery* efficiently. In this study, most of the respondents appeared happy with the services their banks have performed. There were minor complaints, but the respondents commented that their banks are able to resolve them. Nevertheless, to ensure customer satisfaction, contact personnel could be empowered to deal effectively and courteously with problems when opportunities for service recovery arise. For the business, service recovery represents an opportunity to know the customer better and is a chance to impress them. If bankers are able to solve the problems customers face, then customers would derive more value from the service and thus will be more loyal to the bank.



Furthermore, staying loyal is also accentuated by the overall feeling in which respondents perceive that *alternative banks were providing the same quality* as their present bank. Some of the respondents had not switched to another bank because they felt that they would be no better off from switching. Such a finding emphasised that a bank should make an effort to distinguish itself from competitors through the generation of sustainable competitive advantage and distinctive bank image. *Rewards and benefits offered did not score highly implying that customers are more concerned about the financial services than additional incentives for their custom.* Finally, “other banks cannot offer the products and services they want” is the least important contributor to the customer loyalty construct. This again highlights the competitiveness of the product and services on offer in the New Zealand banking industry.

**Table 5(g): Mean Scores of Respondents’ Loyalty Level ( $\alpha = .766$ )**

<i>Consumers stay with their bank because....</i>	Mean	Std. Deviation	n
It is difficult to change banks	3.58	1.091	510
They have excellent relationship with staff	3.58	1.111	510
Their bank is responsive to their changing needs	3.50	1.004	510
Their bank is efficient in handling complaints	3.37	1.008	510
Their bank offers them rewards and benefits	3.12	1.190	510
Other banks cannot offer the products and services they want	2.87	1.027	510
<b>Mean Customer Loyalty</b>	<b>3.35</b>	<b>0.735</b>	

#### *Customers’ Demographic and Customer Retention Rate*

This study further investigates whether demographic differences impact the respondents’ decision to stay with or leave their banks. Demographic variables included the respondents’ age, gender, educational level, and income.

The analysis shows that age is related to the decision to stay with or leave service providers (see Table 6). The 18-30 years age group has the lowest retention rate of 46.5% whereas the age group above 61 years old has highest retention rate of 95%. In general, when the age group of the customers increases, the customers will have higher propensity to stay with their banks. This is consistent with Oliver’s (2004) findings that younger consumers probably have a higher likelihood of leaving their banks in search of greater convenience, lower prices, higher deposit interest rates or better services. This may be because younger consumers often must adjust to significant and substantial changes in their lives. Changes might include such events as taking up tertiary study, moving away from home, finding a different job, buying a house, marrying, or having a child. Thus, these consumers thus may have strong reasons for

switching banks. Presumably, they do not mind the inconvenience so long as the new bank is able to satisfy their changing needs.

This suggests that in order to retain younger customers, New Zealand bankers should introduce new products or services that young consumers' value most. Financial institutions have long attempted to lure young consumers with the use of latest technology (Penny, 1993). For example, ASB uses technology to attract young people. They offer online services and link these to Telecom and Vodafone to enable banking with cellphones and on-line (Oliver, 2004).

For gender, male respondents have an average retention rate of 82.6%, whereas female respondents have a retention rate of 75.3%. However, the test results are non-significant indicating no association between gender and the respondents' intention to stay with or leave their service providers (see Table 6).

Retention rates for different educational levels of respondents were quite similar. The mean score for retention ranged between 3.6 and 3.9. One-way ANOVA was used to test whether education had an effect on customer retention. The test results demonstrated a significant effect ( $F_{(3,475)} = 3.55, p = .015$ ). This may be because more highly educated consumers tend to have greater expectations of services. More educated respondents are also more well-informed. This result has implications for staff training and servicing support to improve consumers' positive experiences while interacting with the bank.

Finally, the effect of respondents' incomes was examined. Retention rates for each income group were similar to one another, with test results showing a lack of association between income and retention. A summary of these tests is presented in Table 6.

**Table 6: Respondents' Demographic with Regards to Retention**

ITEMS	CHI SQUARE TESTS		T-TEST		ANOVA	
	Value	Sig.	F value	Sig.	F value	Sig.
Age	88.328	.000			3.39	.005
Gender	7.078	.215	5.410	.134		
Education					3.55	.015
Income	4.261	.512			1.04	.394

## 4. Conclusions

The constructs investigated in this study all received positive marks by the respondents as factors that would influence their decision to stay with or leave their current banks. The most important construct (by mean score) was customer satisfaction, followed by corporate image and switching barriers. These results lead to suggestions for bank managers to consider as to how they might improve customer retention in today's competitive banking environment.

Results of this analysis have also shown that as the age of customers increases, so too does the propensity to stay with their current banks. In addition, respondents with higher education are most likely to switch banks perhaps because highly educated consumers tend to have greater expectations of services. Gender and income appear not to have significant association with the respondents' intention to stay with or leave their service providers.

The results further showed ASB Bank, Kiwibank and banks under 'other' categories have higher retention rates compared to the larger banks such as Westpac, ANZ, BNZ and National Bank. The smaller banks thus appear to be doing some things better than their larger competitors. Thus, the large New Zealand banks may gain by benchmarking their performance against the smaller institutions.

Since the results of this study are based on consumers' perceptions only, future research should investigate the congruence between consumers' and service providers' perceptions. This will help the industry to better understand whether both consumers and banks have the same perceptions regarding issues relevant to retention. While this study found that customer satisfaction alone is not effective in building customer loyalty, future research may attempt to explore the "unexplored" constructs that consumers would value most. For example, are consumers more concerned about the convenience issue such as location of branches, or the use of technology? Or are consumers more focused on how bank staff delivers services? Given the importance of employee competence, future research should also examine the impact of employees' behaviour that could affect customer retention.

## References

- Abratt, R and Russell, J. (1999). Relationship Marketing in Private Banking South Africa. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 17(1), p.5.
- Alvarez, E. J. (2001). Your Bank's Image: Keeping it Consistent. *Bank Marketing*, 33(3), April, pp. 30-36.
- Beckett, A., Hewer, P and Howcroft, B. (2000). An Exposition of Consumer Behaviour in the Financial Services Industry. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 18(1), p. 15.
- Baker, M. J. (1993). Bank Marketing - Myth or Reality? *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 11(6), p. 5.
- Bergstrom, A. J and Bresnahan, J. M. (1996). How Banks Can Harness the Power of Branding. *US Banker*, 106(3), March, pp. 81-82.
- Bharadwaj, S. G., Varadarajan, P. R and Fahy, J. (1993). Sustainable Competitive Advantage in Service Industries: A Conceptual Model and Research Propositions. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(October), pp. 83-99.
- Chang, Z. Y., Chan, J and Leck, S. L. (1997). Management of Market Quality for Correspondent Banking Products. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 15(1), p. 32.
- Colgate, M., Stewart, K and Kinsella, R. (1996). Customer Defection: A Study of the Student Market in Ireland. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 14(3), p. 23.
- Curasi, C. F and Kennedy, K. N. (2002). From Prisoners to Apostles: A Typology of Repeat Buyers and Loyal Customers in Service Businesses. *The Journal of Service Marketing*, 16(4), pp. 322-342.
- Daniell, A. (2000). The Myth of Cross-Selling. *American Banker*, 165(53), March, p. 7.
- Dawkins, P. M and Reichheld, F. F. (1990). Customer Retention as a Competitive Weapon. *Directors and Boards*, 14(4).
- Dilliman, D. A. (1978). Mail and Telephone Surveys: the Total Design Method. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley and Sons.
- Ennew, C. T and Binks, M. R. (1996). The Impact of Service Quality and Service Characteristics on Customer Retention: Small Business and their Banks in the UK. *British Journal of Management*, 7, pp. 219-230.
- Fisher, A. (2001). Winning the Battle for Customers. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 6(1), September, pp. 77-84.
- Fornell, C. (1992). A National Customer Satisfaction Barometer: The Swedish Experience. *Journal of Marketing*, 56, January, pp. 6-21.
- Gale, B. T and Wood, R. C. (1994). Managing Customer Value: Creating Quality and Services that Customers Can See. *The Free Press*.
- Gerrard, P and Cunningham, B. (2001). Bank Service Quality: A Comparison between a Publicly Quoted Bank and Government Bank in Singapore. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 6(1), September, pp. 50-67.

- Gronroos, C. (1984). A Service Quality Model and Its Marketing Implications. *European Journal of Marketing*, 18(4), pp. 36-44.
- Harwood, M. (2002). Branding on a Budget: Building the Community Bank's Image. *Community Banker*, 11(4), April, pp. 24-28.
- Healy, T. J. (1999). Why You Should Retain Your Customers. *America's Community Banker*, 8(9), September, p. 22-26.
- Hull, L. (2002). Foreign-owned Banks: Implications for New Zealand's Financial Stability. *Discussion Paper Series, DP2002/05*.
- Ioanna, P. D. (2002). The Role of Employee Development in Customer Relations: The Case of UK Retail Banks. *Corporate Communication*, 7(1), pp. 62-77.
- Jones, H., and Farquhar, J. D. (2003). Contact Management and Customer Loyalty. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 8(1), August, p. 71.
- Kaynak, E. (1986a). Globalisation of Banks: An Integrative Statement. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 4(3), pp. 3-8.
- Kaynak, E. (1986b). How to Measure Your Bank's Personality: Some Insights from Canada. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 4(2), pp. 54-68.
- Kaynak, E., and Kucukemiroglu, O. (1992). Bank and Product Selection: Hong Kong. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 10(1), pp. 3-17.
- Laroche, M., and Taylor, T. (1988). An Empirical Study of Major Segmentation Issues in Retail Banking. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 6(1), pp. 31-48.
- Leeds, B. (1992). 'Mystery Shopping' Offers Clues to Quality Service. *Bank Marketing*, 24(11), November, pp. 24-27.
- Malhotra, N. K. (1999). *Marketing Research: An Application Orientation* (3rd ed.). New Jersey, US: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Marple, M and Zimmerman, M. (1999). A Customer Retention Strategy. *Mortgage Banking*, 59(11), August, pp. 45-50.
- Marthur, S. S. (1988). How Firms Compete: A New Classification of Generic Strategies. *Journal of General Management*, 14(1, Autumn), pp. 30-58.
- Menzela, E. (1999). Managing Customer Risk. *The CPA Journal*, 69(6), June, pp. 56-59.
- Mylonakis, J., Malliaris, P. G., & Siomkos, G. J. (1998). Marketing-Driven Factors Influencing Savers In The Hellenic Bank Market. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 14(2, Spring), pp. 109-117.
- Ngu, N. Y and LeBlanc, G. (1998). The Mediating Role of Corporate Image on Customers' Retention Decisions: An Investigation in Financial Services. *The International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 16(2), p. 52.
- Oliver, P. (2004). *Banking on Young Love*. *The New Zealand Herald*.
- Page, M., Pitt, L and Berthon, P. (1996). Analysing and Reducing Customer Defection. *Long Range Planning*, 29(6), pp. 821-824.

- Parasuraman, A. (1997). Reflections on Gaining Competitive Advantage through Customer Value. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 25(2), pp. 154-161.
- Penny, L. (1993). How do you Catch a Straying Customer? *American Banker Association, ABA Banking Journal*, 85(9), September, pp. 66-70.
- Proctor, T. (1997). *Essentials of Marketing Research*. Great Britain: Pitman Publishing (A division of Pearson Professional Limited).
- Reichheld, F. F. (1996). Learning from Customer Defections. *Harvard Business Review*, March/April, pp. 56-69.
- Reichheld, F. F and Kenny, D. (1990). The Hidden Advantages of Customer Retention. *Journal of Retail Banking*, 7(4), pp. 19-23.
- Reichheld, F. F and Sasser, W. E. (1990). Zero Defections: Quality comes to Services. *Harvard Business Review*, September-October, pp. 105-111.
- Reidenbach, R.E. (1995). Value-Driven Bank: Strategies for Total Market Satisfaction. Irwin Professional, U.K
- Rust, R. and Zahorik, A. (1993). Customer Satisfaction, Customer Retention and Market Share. *Journal of Retailing*, 69(2), pp. 193-215.
- Slater, S. F. (1997). Developing a Customer Value-Based Theory of the Firm. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 25(Spring), pp. 162-167.
- Storbacka, K., Strandvik, R and Gronroos, C. (1994). Managing Customer Relationship for Profit: The Dynamics of Relationship Quality. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 5(5), pp. 21-38.
- Woodruff, R. B. (1997). Customer Value: The Next Source of Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, 25(2), pp. 139-153.