Development of a high country winter-feed option using irrigated nitrogen-fertilised tall fescue
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Abstract

A 2 ha 6-year trial on pure stands of irrigated Grasslands Roa tall fescue compared the effect of high (600-300 kg N/ha/yr as urea) and moderate (300-150) nitrogen rates split over either 2- or 4-weekly application, on hay production, some summer grazing and autumn regrowth prior to winter and fed to pregnant ewes on the same plots. Annual yields averaged 13.8 t DM/ha with a 24% advantage to high N. Pregnant ewes break fed a mixed allowance of hay and grass regrowth lost c. 2.4% body weight over a 8-week winter period. There was thinning of the stands under high N and long summer regrowth period. It was considered better to forego some of the winter feed potential for some summer grazing, or a further hay cut, to maintain stand density.
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Introduction

On most high country pastoral properties stock carrying potential is limited by the ability to produce winter feed. The traditional method was summer-saved native pasture. Fertilised special-purpose pastures for hay have been developed, with recently inclusion of irrigation on some properties, to guarantee adequate winter hay supplies. Winter crops of green-feed cereals or brassicas have been used to a lesser extent depending on availability of fertile soils. Both latter options require high annual expenses in resources and time to grow, harvest during the summer and feed out in the winter. Thus there is a need for high soil fertility to gain adequate grass proportions, and the problem of the legume component lost through frost in early winter. The present trial explores a further option using nitrogen-fertilised grass only and combinations of summer saved hay, fed with autumn regrowth during the winter period. The trial was based on Grassland Roa tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.) which had been developed in New Zealand for increasing summer and autumn yields of dryland pastures (Anderson 1982; Anderson et al. 1982, 1983; Lancashire & Brock-1983). The extension to standing winter feed was partly by reference to its high winter feed quality in previous high country high fertiliser and irrigated trials (Scott & Maunsell 1981, 1986), and because it has been widely used for winter feed in the USA.

Methods

The 6-year trial was conducted at the Wolds Station in the Mackenzie Country on 2 ha of newly border-dyked land of a high-country yellow-brown earth (Wolds silt loam). Roa tall fescue was spring drilled at 30 kg/ha with 100 kg/ha sulphur coated urea and 500 kg/ha sulphur fortified (23% S) superphosphate in the first year, and irrigated at 2-to 3-week intervals as required during the growing season. The trial was given a year to establish with 50 kg N/ha as urea during the summer and light grazing in the first autumn. The four treatments were an unbalanced unreplicated factorial of 2 fertiliser nitrogen levels by 2 frequencies of split application. The high N level (4 plots) received 600 kg N/ha as urea in the second and third year, 500 kg N/ha in the fourth year, 400 kg N/ha in the fifth year, and 300 kg N/ha in the sixth year. The
moderate N level (2 plots) received half the high level. The N was split between either fortnightly or monthly applications between October and April.

Hay was taken from all treatments in December as small bales and the number recorded. Two of the high-N plots had a further hay cut or grazing in the second and fourth years. Plots were then allowed to regrow until winter. In later years there was also common grazing in early spring and February. Pasture herbage mass was determined from capacitance probe measurements calibrated for current conditions.

The hay and standing regrowth were fed to pregnant half bred ewes on the same plots as the hay came from, in approximate weekly breaks with back fencing, between June and August. The ewes were weighed at the start, mid point and end. Flock size was determined by the total feed available on each plot. The daily ration varied between years from 0.9 to 1.3 kg DM/ewe/day but was the same for each treatment. Ewes were given 2-4 days on each grass break before hay was supplied.

Results

There was no difference in yields between the 2- and 4-weekly N applications and these plots became, in effect, replications for the levels of N application.

The annual yields averaged 13.8 tonne DhUha with a 24% advantage to the high N level, though with the small number of plots, this was not significant relative to the N level by nitrogen frequency interaction (P=0.15) (Figure 1). There was an increase in yield between the two N levels with the incremental being 8.6, 14.7, 16.4, 6.8 and 13.8 kg DhUha/kg N for the second to sixth year respectively. The December hay cuts made up from a quarter to a third of the annual yields.

It became apparent after the second year that tall fescue, under the high N levels and long regrowth periods, was causing self shading and thinning of the sward. This was the reason for both lowering the N levels in later years and imposing additional grazings firstly in the spring before closing for hay, and again in February prior to saving for the autumn regrowth period. While this maintained the annual yields, it resulted in a lesser portion being available for winter feeding as hay or saved pasture.

Even with the high applied nitrogen levels there was clover ingress into the swards in the later years from adjacent paddocks.

Over winter, with hay and break feeding of autumn regrowth, a ration of 1 kg DM/day gave only minimal sheep live weight losses (Table 1). A higher rate of 1.3 kg used in the second year resulted in apparent wastage and no less live weight loss. There was frosting of the standing grass with only about half the material still green in the middle of the winter.

Table 1 Variation in mean values between years in winter feeding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheep weight loss (kg)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-0.2 to 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocking rate (sheep/ha)</td>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>94-375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding ration (kg/day)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.91-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% pasture</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>51-73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Overseas results indicate that irrigated tall fescue swards respond linearly to N up to rates of 500-600 kg N/ha/year though at higher rates the N fertiliser efficiency is less (Hallock et al. 1973; Eck et al. 1981ab). This was confirmed in the present trial. The better response to N in both yield and stand persistence when applied in small doses reported by Eck et al. (1981a) was the reason for including the feature in the present trial. The results indicate that monthly application were acceptable, and in the interest of simplicity of farm management higher rates at even longer intervals should be investigated.

Previous high country winter feeding trials have indicated that it is not possible to increase ewe body weights.

Figure 1 Yearly variation in tall fescue yields with urea fertilisation. Winter feed: December hay (solid) and autumn regrowth (cross hatch). Summer grazing: February (dotted) and early spring (blank).
over the winter and that weights can only be maintained or slightly reduced (Coop & Clark 1966; Thompson 1971; Vartha & Clifford 1971 ad:b; Daly & Allan 1985). Hence the slight weight losses over the winter in the trial were regarded as acceptable.

The suggestion for the trial approach came from American work, where baled hay is left in the paddock, thus limiting transport costs. Also the last potential hay cut for the season is foregone and allowed to accumulate as standing feed, and in the winter animals are allowed access to both and gradually move from the standing grass to the hay as winter progresses (Barker et al. 1965; Taylor & Templeton 1976; Archer & Decker 1977 a&b; Balasko 1977; Ocumpaugh & Matches 1977; Eck et al. 1981b). The practice also limits nutrient loss from the hay paddocks.

The difference in the present trial was to include the feed efficiency of break feeding, as used elsewhere in New Zealand. Also as the trial was irrigated there was a need to move the hay from the paddock, and for experimental purposes the need to use small bales. In large-paddock farming, large bales could be placed on wide dykes clear of irrigation water. We would have reservations on initial unrestricted access to all regrowth and hay, with the trade-off being between the cost of material and labour for controlled break fencing, compared with the lower cost but more probable rapid decline in the standing grass through trampling and fouling as animals selectively grazed it in the early winter. The advantage of break feeding, especially if there is a 2- to 3-day delay in giving hay after movement again new grass break, is that it gives better utilisation of the feed and allows control of feeding ration through the winter, particularly towards the later stages of pregnancy.

In farming terms the trial showed that high grass yields can be obtained using N fertiliser and that it is a winter feed option. The results show there is no need to go to the highest N levels that were used in this trial. Also there is some need to forego some of the winter potential in the interest of maintaining the stand density. Some spring and summer grazing is desirable to promote tillering of the tall fescue and to control weeds. Some harvesting for hay or grazing is important during the main growth period in mid-summer so the stand does not become overgrown with consequent thinning. Break feeding and back fencing, though labour intensive, allows rationing of feed and gives better spring regrowth.
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