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Introduction / background

• Due to the avoidance of synthetic herbicides organics has an increased reliance on soil tillage / cultivation for:
  – Seedbed preparation
  – Weed management

• This can damage soil, especially when compared with minimum tillage approaches
Introduction / background

• Protecting soil quality is at the heart of organics, e.g., FOAM Principle of health
  “Organic Agriculture should sustain and enhance the health of soil, plant, animal and human as one and indivisible.”

• ‘Conflict’ between practical need for soil cultivation for weed management and one of organics central aims
Introduction / background

• A method of systemically killing weeds without soil tillage that was organically acceptable it could address this ‘conflict’
• A number of claims have been made in NZ that using foliar fish fertilizers in conjunction with a steam weeder achieved a systemic weed kill
Introduction / background

• Two main parties making the claims
  – BioSea – trading name of the fish processing, fertilizer by-product division of Sea Lord Group Ltd.
  – Thermal Options Ltd. designed and manufactures the VaporJet H1200 ® steam weeder *
Introduction / background

• Parties fell out over patent
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Introduction / background

• Despite strong claims for effectiveness and patenting no rigorous demonstration of effectiveness made

• If truly effective implications could be huge
• Clearly needed scientific testing
Introduction / background

- BioSea claimed it was the omega-3 and omega-6 essential fatty acids that were the ‘active ingredient’
- That steam facilitated their entry into the plant vascular tissue
- Chose four products to test with either high or low omega essential fatty acid content
Methodology

• RCB split plot design, four reps
• Main plot: two fish fertilizers & two veg. oils
  – BioSea Omega +
  – Simply Organic (second fish fertilizer)
  – Raw organic linseed / flax oil (*Linum usitatissimum*)
  – RBD canola cooking oil (*Brassica napus*)
  – Steam only
  – Null control
• Sub plot: product application position
  – Before steam application
  – Applied in the steam stream
  – After steam application
Methodology

• Used the prototype direct-fired steam weeder*
Methodology

- Three separate trials on different pastures
  - Predominately *Lolium perenne, Trifolium repens, Cichorium intybus, Trifolium pratense*
- For two of the trials herbage samples were taken
- All trials visually assessed
- Analysis by ANOVA
Results

Herbage dry matter weight (g)

- BioSea
- FluidFert
- Linseed
- OSR
- Steam
- Control

LSD$_{0.05}$ = 26.5

$p = 0.932$

LSD$_{0.05}$ = 13.9

$p = 0.150$
Results

• No visual sign of any systemic plant death
• However…
• Additional claims were made that steam made the certified organic contact herbicide Interceptor® systemic
Methodology

• RCB design using Interceptor
• Treatments
  – Before steam application
  – Applied in the steam stream
  – After steam application
  – Steam only
  – Null control
Results

Herbage dry matter weight (g)

LSD$_{0.05}$ = 17.3
p = 0.468
Results

• Foliage initially destroyed but quickly regrew
• No visual sign of any systemic plant death
Mode of action?

• Claims of systemic plant death are not correct
• What about the claims that steam facilitated entry into plant tissue?
• Can steam can stop a known systemic herbicide from working?
• If so, claims that steam can make non-systemic substances systemic appear unfounded
Methodology

- RCB design using glyphosate
- Treatments
  - Glyphosate applied after steam
  - Steam only
  - Glyphosate only
  - Null control
- Applied to a *Lolium perenne* pasture
Results

• Plots visually inspected for plant death
  – Glyphosate only → complete kill
  – Glyphosate and steam → complete kill
  – Steam only → no kill
  – Null control → no kill
Conclusions

• Inability to prevent glyphosate working unexpectedly
• Claims steam can make a range of products into systemic herbicides unfounded but not ‘disproven’
• What were they based on? *
Conclusions

• Further research in this area unwarranted
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